Hydraulic Model Analysis of SITI Khadijah Retention Pond to Reduce Flooding In Palembang City

Achmad Syarifudin¹; Bambang Irawan²

¹Professor of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering ²Post Graduate Student of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Bina University, Palembang, Indonesia Corresponding Author: achmad.syarifudin@binadarma.ac.id; farizalbmn44@gmail.com

Abstract

This research aims to obtain a hydraulic model for the Siti Khadijah retention pond which is located in the Sekanak Sub-watershed. The data used is rainfall data from rainfall stations taken from the BMKG of Palembang city. In this research, analysis is based on secondary data, namely rainfall data which will later be obtained by IDF curves and field surveys to obtain Siti Khadijah retention pond data as a basis for analysis with the help of the HEC-RAS ver. 6.1.0. The results of the research showed that the movement of flow entering from inlet channel 1 to the Siti Khadijah retention pond showed that its capacity was full with an average height of 4 m so that during high intensity rain, flooding occurred on part of the Demang Lebar Daun road (in front of the retention pond). Siti Khadijah). Meanwhile at inlet 2 there is a slight "overflow" at a distance of 250 m from the Siti Khadijah retention pond where the thickness of the sediment (mud) is greater. less 0.75 - 1 meter.

Keywords: retention pond, IDF curve, HEC-RAS, full capacity, sedimentation

| Date of Submission: 03-12-2024 | Date of Acceptance: 14-12-2024 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | |

I. INTRODUCTION

In the current era of globalization, with the increasing development, the development of the world is also getting faster. This can directly or indirectly affect the condition of nature and the environment. The increasing development not only brings good influences but also brings bad influences on the condition of nature and the environment. One of the most significant environmental changes is the increasing number of areas in Indonesia that generally experience flooding, including the city of Palembang, South Sumatra province itself, which has experienced flooding in the past few years.

The city of Palembang has 108 tributaries. There are 4 large rivers that cross the city of Palembang, namely the Musi River, Komering River, Ogan River, and Keramasan River. Of the 4 large rivers above, the Musi River is the largest river with an average width of 504 meters and a maximum width of 1,350 meters which is located around Kemaro Island. (Syarifudin, A, et al, 2018)

Based on the division of river basins, there are 21 Sub-DAS, but only 18 Sub-DAS in the city of Palembang flow directly into the Musi River in the city of Palembang, namely the Rengas Lacak, Gandus, Lambidaro, Boang, Sekanak, Bendung, Lawang Kidul, Buah, Juaro, Batang, Sei Lincah, Keramasan, Kertapati, Kedukan Ulu, Aur, Sriguna, Jakabaring and Plaju Sub-DAS. (Palembang City PUPR Service, 2018) Most of the floods that occur in Indonesia are caused by, among others: high rainfall that lasts for a long duration, causing a lot of waterlogging in urban areas. In addition, floods are caused by the overflow of the main rivers that pass through residential and urban areas, due to the high intensity of rainfall in the upstream area or often referred to as flash floods or floods. (Syarifudin, A. et al 2018)

In the study of hydrology, fluctuations and the journey of flow discharge waves from one upstream point to the next downstream point can be known/estimated the pattern and time of travel. This method is commonly known as the flood routing method. According to Soemarto (1987) Flood tracing is a hydrograph forecast at a point in a stream or part of a river based on hydrograph observations at other points. Flood hydrographs can be traced through riverbeds or through reservoirs/retention ponds.

Based on the division of river basins, there are 21 Sub-DAS, but only 18 Sub-DAS in the city of Palembang flow directly into the Musi River in the city of Palembang, namely the Rengas Lacak, Gandus, Lambidaro, Boang, Sekanak, Bendung, Lawang Kidul, Buah, Juaro, Batang, Sei Lincah, Keramasan, Kertapati, Kedukan Ulu, Aur, Sriguna, Jakabaring and Plaju Sub-DAS. (Palembang City PUPR Service, 2018).

The floods that occurred in the city of Palembang caused problems for the government to evaluate the existing drainage channels and retention ponds as flood/inundation control.

In recent years, the Sekanak river has often overflowed because it is no longer able to accommodate the water discharge during the rainy season. In addition, the water discharge of the Musi River enters the Sekanak river when the tide is high. This is one of the causes of the area around the Sekanak river.

Simulations using programs with different conditions, namely existing conditions, river channel normalization, diversion, combined retention ponds with pumping systems and embankment construction, show that in existing conditions there are seven areas that are inundated.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research is located in the Siti Khadijah retention pond, Sekanak Sub River Basin as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The research location is in Ilir Timur II sub-district, Palembang city.

In accordance with the objectives of the study, the following stages are required:

- 1. The first stage, conducting reference collection from journals, books, and other secondary data sources.
- 2. The second stage, conducting a field orientation survey to obtain the current (existing) conditions of the field, taking photos of the field (site) so that they can be the initial data for the study.
- 3. The third stage, conducting an initial simulation trial with the HEC-RAS program ver. 6.1.0 to see changes in water levels in the inlet channel consisting of 2 inlets located on Jalan Demang Lebar Daun.
- 4. The fourth stage, making a discussion of the results of observations that occur in the simulation model and making research conclusions and providing suggestions for further research by other researchers.



Figure 2: Researcher Fiuwchart

The research flowchart as in figure 2.2. can be described as follows:

The results of the analysis of the planned rainfall distribution with a return period of 2, 5, 25, 50 and 100 years as in table 1.

| < > |
|----------|
| (mm) |
| /61 |
| 01 |
| 217 |
| 218 |
| 06 06 |
| |

Table 1: Recapitulation of Planned Rainfall Distribution

A recapitulation of the goodness-of-fit test calculations using Chi-Square for the four probability distributions can be seen in table 2 as below.

| Table 2. Chi-Square Test Recapitulation | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------|--------------------|----------|--|
| | | Nilai (O _i -E _i) ² /E _i | | | | |
| KELAS Pab | Pab | Normal | Log Normal | Log Pearson III | Gumbel | |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \leq Pab \leq \\ 0.100 \end{array}$ | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.100 \leq \text{Pab} \leq \\ 0.200 \end{array}$ | 1,9000 | 1,9000 | 0,0053 | 1,9000 | |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.200 \leq Pab \leq \\ 0.300 \end{array}$ | 1,9000 | 0,0053 | 0,4263 | 0,0053 | |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.300 \leq Pab \leq \\ 0.400 \end{array}$ | 0,6368 | 0,4263 | 0,0053 | 0,4263 | |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.400 \leq Pab \leq \\ 0.500 \end{array}$ | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 2,3211 | 0,0053 | |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.500 \leq \mathrm{Pab} \leq \\ 0.600 \end{array}$ | 2,3211 | 2,3211 | 0,0053 | 5,0579 | |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.600 \leq \mathrm{Pab} \leq \\ 0.700 \end{array}$ | 0,6368 | 0,6368 | 1,9000 | 0,4263 | |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.700 \leq \mathrm{Pab} \leq \\ 0.800 \end{array}$ | 0,0053 | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 0,0053 | |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.800 \leq \mathrm{Pab} \leq \\ 0.900 \end{array}$ | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 1,9000 | 1,9000 | |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.900 \leq \mathrm{Pab} \leq \\ 0.999 \end{array}$ | 1,9000 | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | 0,4263 | |
| | Nilai c ² | 8,2526 | 6,5684 | 5,5 158 | 8,2526 | |
| N | ilai c ² Kritik | 14,07 | 14,07 | 14,07 | 14,07 | |
| Uj | i Kecocokan | DITERIMA | DITERIMA | DITERIMA | DITERIMA | |

Table 2. Chi-Square Test Recapitulation

A recapitulation of the Smirnov-Kolmgorov goodness-of-fit test calculations for the four probability distributions can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3: Smirnov-Kolmogorov Test Recapitulation

| No | Selisih Untuk Nilai Kritis 5 % | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| 110 | Normal | Log Normal | Log Pearson III | Gumbel | |
| 1 | 0,0359 | 0,0500 | 0,0500 | 0,0293 | |
| 2 | 0,0524 | 0,1000 | 0,1000 | 0,0609 | |
| 3 | 0,0838 | 0,1500 | 0,1500 | 0,1091 | |
| 4 | 0,0314 | 0,2000 | 0,2000 | 0,0532 | |
| 5 | 0,0124 | 0,2500 | 0,2500 | 0,1111 | |
| 6 | 0,0634 | 0,3000 | 0,3000 | 0,0734 | |
| 7 | 0,0366 | 0,3500 | 0,3500 | 0,1158 | |
| 8 | 0,1237 | 0,4000 | 0,4000 | 0,0451 | |
| 9 | 0,0800 | 0,4500 | 0,4500 | 0,1007 | |
| 10 | 0,1165 | 0,4999 | 0,5000 | 0,0540 | |
| 11 | 0,0914 | 0,5499 | 0,5500 | 0,0766 | |
| 12 | 0,0602 | 0,5998 | 0,6000 | 0,1028 | |
| 13 | 0,0102 | 0,6498 | 0,6500 | 0,1653 | |
| 14 | 0,0279 | 0,6998 | 0,7000 | 0,1992 | |
| 15 | 0,0689 | 0,7498 | 0,7500 | 0,2334 | |
| Selisih Maks | 0,1237 | 0,7498 | 0,7500 | 0,2334 | |
| Di Kritik | 0,3380 | 0,3380 | 0,3380 | 0,3380 | |
| Uji Kecocokan | DITERIMA | DITOLAK | DITOLAK | DITERIMA | |

| Distribusi | | Uji Kecocokan | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Frekuensi | Uji Chi-Square | | Uji Smirnov-Kolmogorov | | | |
| | $\sum X^2$ | X ² kritik | Δmaks | ∆kritik | | |
| Normal | 8,2526 | 14,067 | 0,1237 | 0,338 | | |
| Log-Normal | 6,5684 | 14,067 | 0,7498 | 0,338 | | |
| Log-Pearson | 5 5158 | 14 067 | 0.7500 | 0 338 | | |
| Tipe III | 5,5150 | 1,007 | 0,7500 | 0,000 | | |
| Gumbel | 8,2526 | 14,067 | 0,2334 | 0,338 | | |

Table 4: Recapitulation of Chi-Square and Smirnov-Kolmogorov Goodness-of-Fit Tests

Table 5: Rain Intensity with Rain Return Period and Duration

| Return Period (Year) | R ₂₄ (mm) | I (mm/jam) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 5 | 120.761 | 45,0343 |
| 10 | 138.901 | 60,9973 |
| 25 | 161.817 | 71,8987 |
| 50 | 178.818 | 83,5867 |
| 100 | 195.696 | 86,1431 |



Figure 3: IDF curve (Intensity Duration Frequency-curve)

The delineation of the catchment area of the research location was carried out based on the analysis of the digital elevation model (DEM), which is a topographic map in 3-dimensional format with a grid resolution of 10 m x 10 m made from high point data. The resolution of 10 m x 10 m is good enough for flood analysis (Apirumanekul and Mark, 2001).

Using the DEM, the catchment area analysis was then carried out, so that the boundaries of the catchment area were obtained as given in Figure 4

The boundaries of the catchment area and the flow pattern obtained from the results of the analysis are in accordance with the existing flow pattern in the field. In Figure 4, the area of the catchment area is 9,373 km2. The lowest elevation is +3.212 76 m, while the highest elevation is +20.00 m. Based on the land slope analysis, it was found that the average land slope is 2.33° or 4.06%. (Baitullah Al Amin, 2016)



Figure 4: DEM Map and Land Slope Overlaid with Catchment Area Boundaries (Baitaullah, 2016)



Figure 5: Situation of inlet channel and retention pond

For return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 can be seen in the following table:

| Periode Ulang | C | I (mm/iam) | Λ (km ²) | Q |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| (tahun) | C | I (IIIII/Jaiii) | A (KIII) | (m ³ /det) |
| 2 | 0,8689 | 48,2397 | 9.373 | 10,92 |
| 5 | 0,8689 | 55,4837 | 9.373 | 5,230 |
| 10 | 0,8689 | 64,6379 | 9.373 | 6,093 |
| 20 | 0,8689 | 71,4289 | 9.373 | 6,733 |
| 50 | 0,8689 | 78,1707 | 9.373 | 7,368 |

 Table 6: Results of runoff discharge calculations

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Inlet Channel 1



Figure 6: Flow simulation results at a distance of 25 m from the retention pond inlet.



Figure 7: Flow simulation results at a distance of 200 m from the retention pond inlet.



Figure 8: Flow simulation results at a distance of 150 m from the retention pond inlet.



Figure 9: Flow simulation results at a distance of 100 m from the retention pond inlet.



Figure 10: Flow simulation results at a distance of 50 meters from the retention pond inlet.

3.2. Saluran Inlet 2



Figure 11: Flow simulation results at a distance of 25 meters from the retention pond inlet.







Figure 13: Simulation results of inlet flow 2 at a distance of 250 m.



Figure 14: Simulation results of inlet flow 2 at a distance of 200 m.



Figure 15: Simulation results of inlet flow 2 at a distance of 150 m.



Figure 16: Simulation results of inlet flow 2 at a distance of 100 m.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results of the study showed that the flow movement entering from inlet channel 1 to the Siti Khadijah retention pond showed that its capacity was full (full capacity) with an average height of 4 m so that when it rained with high intensity there was a puddle in part of Jalan Demang Lebar Daun (in front of the Siti Khadijah retention pond). While at inlet 2 there was a slight "overflow" at a distance of 250 m from the Siti Khadijah retention pond with a flow movement pattern both at inlet 1 and inlet 2 when it rained there was an increase in the amount of sediment entering the Siti Khadijah retention pond where the thickness of the sediment (mud) was approximately 0.75 - 1 meter.

REFERENCES

- [1] Achmad Syarifudin., 2018, Hidrologi Terapan, Penerbit Andi, Yogyakarta, hal. 45-48
- [2] Achmad Syarifudin., 2018, Sistem Drainase Perkotaan Berwawasan Lingkungan, Penerbit Bening's, hal. 38-42
- [3] Aureli F and Mignosa P, 2001, "Comparison between experimental and numerical results of 2D flows due to levee-breaking," XXIX IAHR Congress Proceedings, Theme C, September 16-21, Beijing, China
- [4] Achmad Syarifudin., 2017, The influence of Musi River Sedimentation to The Aquatic Environment DOI: 10.1051/matecconf/201710104026, MATEC Web Conf, 101, 04026, , [published online 09 March 2017]
- [5] Cahyono Ikhsan., 2017, Pengaruh variasi debit aliran pada dasar saluran terbuka dengan aliran seragam, Media Teknik Sipil.
- [6] Chow V.T., D.R. Maidment and L.W. Mays., (1988), Applied Hydrology. Mc. Graw Hill co.Department of Public Works., Guidance for Landslide Management Planning, SKBI - 2.3.06., 1987, PU Publication Agency Foundation
- [7] Department of Public Works., Guidance for Landslide Management Planning, SKBI 2.3.06., 1987, PU Publication Agency Foundation Islam MZ,
- [8] Istiarto, 2012, Teknik Sungai, Transpor Sedimen, Universitas Gadjahmada, Yogyakarta
- [9] Istiarto, 2012, Teknik Sungai, Universitas Gadjahmada, Yogyakarta
- [10] Loebis, J. 2008. Banjir Rencana Untuk Bangunan Air. Yayasan Badan Penerbit Pekerjaan Umum. Jakarta.
- [11] Mc. Cuen R.H., (1982), A Guide to hydrologic analyses using SCS methods. Prentice Hall Publication.
- [12] Narulita, I., (2016), Distribusi spasial dan temporal Curah Hujan di DAS Cerucuk, Pulau Belitung. Jurnal Riset dan Pertambangan, Vol. 26 No. 2: 141 – 154
- [13] Okubo K, Muramoto Y, and Morikawa H, 1994, "Experimental Study on Sedimentation over the Floodplain due to River Embankment Failure," Bulletin of the Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, 44 (2), pp. 69-92
- [14] Paimin et al, 2012, Sistem Perencanaan Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai, Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Konservasi dan Rehabilitasi (P3KR), Bogor, Indonesia

- [15] Robert. J. Kodoatie, Sugiyanto., 2002, Flood causes and methods of control in an environmental perspective, Yogyakarta
- [16] Syarifudin A and Sartika D, A Scouring Patterns Around Pillars of Sekanak River Bridge, Journal of Physics: IOP Conference Series, volume 1167, 2019, IOP Publishing
- [17] Sunu Tikno, 2002, Penerapan metode penelusuran banjir (flood routing) untuk program pengendalian dan sistem peringatan dini banjir kasus : sungai ciliwung jurnal sains & teknologi modifikasi cuaca, vol. 3, no. 1, 2002: 53-61
- [18] Suripin., 2004, Sistem Drainase Perkotaan Berkelanjutan, Penerbit Andi, hal. 176-179.
- [19] Syarifudin A, HR Destania., IDF Curve Patterns for Flood Control of Air Lakitan river of Musi Rawas Regency, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental ScienceVolume 448, 2020, The 1st International Conference on Environment, Sustainability Issues and Community Development 23 - 24 October 2019, Central Java Province, Indonesia