International Journal Of Engineering Research And Development
e- ISSN: 2278-067X, p-ISSN: 2278-800X, www.ijerd.com
Volume 20, Issue 9 (September, 2024), PP 171-177

The Impact of Regular Maintenance on the Longevity and Performance of Radiology Equipment

MariaTheresa Chinyeaka Kelvin-Agwu[1], Mojeed Omotayo Adelodun[2], Geneva Tamunobarafiri Igwama[3], Evangel Chinyere Anyanwu[4]

[1] Independent Researcher, Manchester, UK
[2] Al Rass General Hospital, Al Rass, Al Qassim Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[3] School of Nursing, University of Akron, USA
[4] Independent Researcher, Nebraska, USA
[1]Corresponding author

Abstract
This review paper explores the critical importance of regular maintenance in ensuring the longevity and optimal performance of radiology equipment, particularly CT and MRI machines. It highlights the significant role that biomedical engineers play in maintaining these complex systems, emphasizing their responsibilities in installation, calibration, routine inspections, and repairs. The discussion underscores how regular maintenance impacts radiology equipment's accuracy, reliability, and safety, influencing diagnostic outcomes and overall patient care. The paper also considers the broader implications for healthcare systems, noting that well-maintained equipment enhances patient outcomes, improves operational efficiency, and reduces costs. By examining the intersection of technology, engineering expertise, and healthcare, this paper affirms the indispensable role of maintenance and engineering in modern medical diagnostics.

***Keywords**: Radiology Equipment, Regular Maintenance, Biomedical Engineering, Diagnostic Imaging, Equipment Longevity, Healthcare Systems*

Date of Submission: 03-09-2024 Date of Acceptance: 15-09-2024

I. Introduction

Radiology equipment, including Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) machines, are integral to modern healthcare. These sophisticated devices enable medical professionals to diagnose and treat various conditions precisely and accurately. The role of radiology equipment in patient care cannot be overstated, as it directly impacts the quality of diagnostics, treatment planning, and overall patient outcomes. Consequently, the longevity and performance of these machines are critical to ensuring that healthcare providers can continue to offer high standards of care(Hussain et al., 2022).

In medical diagnostics, equipment reliability is paramount. Any malfunction or degradation in the performance of radiology equipment can lead to misdiagnosis or delayed treatment, potentially jeopardizing patient health. For instance, an MRI machine with reduced imaging quality could result in unclear scans, making it difficult for radiologists to identify abnormalities. Similarly, a CT machine with a malfunctioning component could produce inaccurate data, leading to incorrect treatment decisions. Thus, maintaining the longevity and performance of these machines is essential for sustaining the accuracy and effectiveness of diagnostic procedures(Najjar, 2023; Shomirov & Zhang, 2021).

Regular maintenance is vital in preserving radiology equipment's operational efficiency and safety. These machines are complex and expensive, so their maintenance requires specialized knowledge and skills. Neglecting maintenance can lead to equipment failure, resulting in costly repairs, extended downtime, and potential patient harm. On the other hand, consistent maintenance ensures that the equipment operates at peak performance, reduces the likelihood of unexpected breakdowns, and extends the machine's useful life. This paper explores the effects of regular maintenance on the longevity and performance of radiology equipment, highlighting the crucial role of biomedical engineers in this process(Code, 2024).

II. Significance of Regular Maintenance

2.1. Contribution to Longevity and Optimal Performance of CT and MRI Machines

Regular maintenance ensuresradiology equipment's longevity and optimal performance, particularly CT (Computed Tomography) and MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) machines. These machines are pivotal in medical diagnostics, providing detailed images that help clinicians diagnose and monitor various conditions.

However, like any complex machinery, CT and MRI machines are subject to wear and tear over time, leading to performance degradation if not properly maintained(Anazodo et al., 2023).

CT machines, for example, rely on X-ray tubes, one of the most critical components. These tubes generate X-rays that pass through the body to create detailed cross-sectional images. However, with continuous use, the efficiency of these X-ray tubes can diminish, leading to longer scan times, reduced image quality, and, ultimately, the need for costly replacements. Regular maintenance ensures that these tubes are inspected and replaced as necessary, preventing sudden failures and extending the machine's operational life(Almakrami, 2021).

Similarly, MRI machines depend on powerful magnets and radiofrequency coils to produce high-resolution images of soft tissues. The calibration of these magnets is crucial for maintaining the accuracy and quality of the images. Over time, the magnetic field can become unstable, leading to image distortions. Routine maintenance, including recalibration of the magnets and inspection of the coils, helps to maintain the machine's performance, ensuring that it continues to produce reliable and precise images. This extends the machine's lifespan and enhances the quality of patient care by providing accurate diagnostic information(Labbé et al., 2021; Nohava, Ginefri, Willoquet, Laistler, & Frass-Kriegl, 2020).

2.2. Overview of Maintenance Protocols and Their Importance

Maintenance protocols for CT and MRI machines are designed to address the unique requirements of these devices, ensuring that they operate at peak efficiency while minimizing the risk of unexpected downtime. These protocols typically include a combination of routine inspections, preventive maintenance, and corrective actions. Routine inspections involve regular checks of the machine's components to identify signs of wear and tear, such as degrading X-ray tubes or misaligned magnets. These inspections are essential for detecting potential issues before they escalate into major problems that could disrupt the machine's operation(Gibney et al., 2021).

On the other hand, preventive maintenance involves scheduled interventions to maintain the machine's functionality and prevent future breakdowns. For instance, in CT machines, preventive maintenance might include replacing X-ray tubes after a certain number of hours of operation or recalibrating the detectors to ensure accurate image acquisition. In MRI machines, this could involve checking the cooling systems, which are critical for maintaining the stability of the magnets or inspecting the radiofrequency coils for any signs of damage. By adhering to these preventive maintenance schedules, healthcare facilities can significantly reduce the likelihood of unexpected equipment failures(Burroni et al., 2021).

Corrective maintenance, while reactive, is equally important. This aspect of maintenance involves addressing any issues that arise during the operation of the machine, such as repairing a malfunctioning component or recalibrating a system that has gone out of alignment. While the goal is to minimize the need for corrective maintenance through preventive measures, it remains a crucial part of the overall maintenance strategy. Quick and effective corrective actions help to minimize downtime and ensure that the machine is back in operation as soon as possible, thereby minimizing disruptions to patient care(Doo et al., 2024).

The importance of these maintenance protocols cannot be overstated. They help maintain the equipment's performance and reliability and play a crucial role in minimizing downtime. Minimizing downtime is critical in a busy healthcare setting, where CT and MRI machines are often in high demand. A machine that is out of service for maintenance is a machine that is not available for patient care, leading to delays in diagnosis and treatment. By following a rigorous maintenance schedule, healthcare facilities can ensure that their radiology equipment remains operational when needed most, improving patient throughput and overall efficiency (Tay, Kothan, Kada, Cai, & Lai, 2021).

2.3. Risks and Costs Associated with Neglected Maintenance

Neglecting regular maintenance of CT and MRI machines can have significant risks and costs regarding patient safety and financial implications for healthcare facilities. When maintenance is overlooked, the likelihood of equipment failure increases dramatically. This can lead to unplanned downtime, which is particularly disruptive in a medical setting where timely diagnosis and treatment are critical(Chinene, Elton Mutasa, & Bwanga, 2023).

One of the most immediate risks associated with neglected maintenance is the potential for equipment failure during a diagnostic procedure. For example, if an MRI machine experiences a magnet quench—a sudden loss of superconductivity in the magnet due to a system failure—it can not only halt the procedure but also pose safety risks to the patient and the staff. Similarly, a malfunctioning CT scanner could produce incomplete or inaccurate images, leading to misdiagnosis or the need to repeat the scan, exposing patients to additional radiation(Abdulkadir, 2020).

From a financial perspective, the costs of neglected maintenance can be substantial. Unplanned repairs are typically more expensive than preventive maintenance, as they often require emergency service calls and the replacement of major components. Additionally, the downtime associated with such repairs can lead to lost revenue for the healthcare facility, as fewer patients can be scanned when the machine is out of service. In some cases, prolonged neglect could result in the need to replace the entire machine. This significant capital expense could have been avoided with regular maintenance(Fawver et al., 2020).

Moreover, neglected maintenance can also have reputational costs. Suppose a healthcare facility becomes known for having unreliable equipment. In that case, it can damage the trust of both patients and referring physicians. This can decrease patient volume and fewer referrals, further impacting the facility's financial health. In contrast, a well-maintained machine that operates reliably can enhance the facility's reputation, increasing patient satisfaction and more referrals(Saini, Budhwar, Choudhary, & Technology, 2022).

III. Impact on Equipment Performance

3.1. Influence of Regular Maintenance on Accuracy, Reliability, and Overall Performance

Regular maintenance ensures the accuracy, reliability, and overall performance of radiology equipment, particularly advanced imaging machines like CT (Computed Tomography) and MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) systems. These machines are critical tools in the diagnostic arsenal of healthcare providers, enabling them to detect and monitor a wide range of medical conditions with high precision. The imaging accuracy produced by these devices directly influences the diagnostic process, making it crucial that they operate at optimal performance levels(Hussain et al., 2022).

The accuracy of radiology equipment largely depends on its components' calibration and functionality. For instance, CT machines rely on precisely calibrated X-ray tubes and detectors to produce detailed cross-sectional images of the body. Suppose these components are not regularly inspected and maintained. In that case, the accuracy of the scans can deteriorate, leading to potential errors in diagnosis. Similarly, MRI machines require regular calibration of their magnetic fields and radiofrequency coils to produce clear and accurate images of soft tissues. Any deviation from the required specifications can result in image distortions or artifacts, which can obscure critical details and complicate the diagnostic process(Anazodo et al., 2023; Khalifa, Albadawy, & Update, 2024).

Reliability is another key aspect of radiology equipment performance that is heavily influenced by regular maintenance. Reliable equipment is less likely to experience unexpected failures or downtime, essential in a healthcare setting where timely diagnosis and treatment are critical. Regular maintenance helps identify and address potential issues before they escalate into major problems, reducing the likelihood of equipment malfunctions. For example, routine checks and replacements of wear-prone components, such as the X-ray tubes in CT machines or the cooling systems in MRI machines, can prevent sudden breakdowns that could disrupt patient care(Patriarca et al., 2020).

Overall performance encompasses not only the accuracy and reliability of the equipment but also its efficiency and operational longevity. Regular maintenance ensures that all components of the radiology machines are functioning optimally, which, in turn, enhances the overall performance of the equipment. This includes maintaining the speed and quality of image acquisition, minimizing downtime, and extending the operational lifespan of the machines. By keeping the equipment in top condition, healthcare providers can ensure that their diagnostic tools are always ready to deliver the high-quality results critical for effective patient care(Omoumi et al., 2021).

3.2. Maintenance and Its Impact on Imaging Quality and Diagnostic Outcomes

The quality of imaging produced by radiology equipment is a direct reflection of the machine's maintenance status. High-quality images are crucial for accurate diagnosis, as they provide the detailed visual information that radiologists and clinicians rely on to identify and assess medical conditions. Regular maintenance plays a pivotal role in preserving the quality of these images by ensuring that the equipment remains calibrated and free from defects that could compromise its performance(Zhou et al., 2021).

In CT imaging, for example, the clarity and contrast of the images depend on the proper functioning of the X-ray tubes, detectors, and image processing software. Over time, these components can degrade, leading to reduced image quality if not properly maintained. Regular maintenance tasks such as recalibrating the detectors, updating software, and replacing aging components help to maintain the image quality at its highest possible level. This is particularly important for detecting small or subtle abnormalities, such as early-stage tumors, where even minor image distortions could result in a missed diagnosis(Kjelle & Chilanga, 2022).

MRI machines, which capture detailed images of soft tissues, also require meticulous maintenance to ensure high imaging quality. The strength and stability of the magnetic field are critical for producing clear images, and any fluctuations can lead to image artifacts that obscure important details. Regular maintenance activities, such as calibrating the magnetic field, inspecting the radiofrequency coils, and ensuring the cooling systems are functioning properly, are essential for maintaining the clarity and accuracy of MRI scans. High-quality MRI images are vital for diagnosing conditions like brain disorders, musculoskeletal injuries, and vascular diseases, where precision is key to effective treatment planning(Koundal et al., 2020; Najjar, 2023).

The impact of imaging quality on diagnostic outcomes cannot be overstated. High-quality images enable radiologists to make accurate and timely diagnoses, which is crucial for guiding treatment decisions. Conversely, poor-quality images can lead to misdiagnosis or the need for repeat scans, which can delay treatment and increase the risk of complications. By ensuring that radiology equipment is regularly maintained and operating at peak performance, healthcare providers can enhance the diagnostic process, leading to better patient outcomes(Suchá, van Hamersvelt, van den Hoven, de Jong, & Verkooijen, 2020).

3.3. Comparison of Performance Metrics Between Well-Maintained and Poorly Maintained Equipment

The difference in performance metrics between well-maintained and poorly-maintained radiology equipment is stark, with significant implications for patient care and operational efficiency. Well-maintained equipment consistently delivers higher accuracy, reliability, and image quality. At the same time, poorly maintained machines are prone to frequent breakdowns, reduced image clarity, and inconsistent performance(Karemere, Mukwege, Molima, Makali, & Policy, 2020).

One of the most noticeable differences is in the accuracy and consistency of the imaging results. Well-maintained CT and MRI machines produce clear, high-resolution images that accurately represent the anatomical structures being examined. These images are critical for identifying subtle abnormalities, such as small lesions or early-stage tumors, that might be missed with lower-quality scans. In contrast, poorly maintained machines often produce images with reduced clarity, higher noise levels, and artifacts that can obscure important details. This can lead to diagnostic errors, missed diagnoses, or the need for additional imaging studies, all of which can negatively impact patient care(Fleming, Watts, Forrester, & Technology, 2023).

Reliability is another key performance metric significantly affected by the maintenance status of radiology equipment. Well-maintained machines are less likely to experience unexpected failures or downtime, crucial in a healthcare setting where timely access to diagnostic imaging is essential. For example, a well-maintained MRI machine is less likely to suffer a magnet quench—a sudden loss of superconductivity in the magnet—than a machine that has not been properly maintained. Such failures disrupt patient care and lead to costly repairs and extended downtime. On the other hand, poorly maintained equipment is more prone to such failures, resulting in frequent disruptions and reduced availability of imaging services(Badnjevic & Care, 2023; Zamzam et al., 2021).

Operational efficiency is also closely tied to the maintenance of radiology equipment. Well-maintained machines typically have shorter scan times and require fewer repeat scans, which increases patient throughput and reduces waiting times. This is particularly important in busy healthcare settings with high demand for imaging services. Conversely, poorly maintained machines often require longer scan times due to degraded performance, leading to bottlenecks in the imaging workflow and longer patient wait times. Additionally, the need for repeat scans due to poor image quality can reduce efficiency and increase costs(Frija et al., 2021).

The financial implications of poor maintenance are significant as well. While regular maintenance involves ongoing costs, these are generally much lower than those associated with major repairs or premature equipment replacement. Poorly maintained machines are more likely to experience catastrophic failures requiring costly emergency repairs or complete replacement, leading to substantial financial strain on healthcare facilities. Furthermore, the operational inefficiencies associated with poorly maintained equipment—such as longer scan times and increased downtime—can result in lost revenue due to reduced patient throughput and lower overall utilization of imaging services(Mossa-Basha et al., 2020).

IV. Role of Biomedical Engineers

4.1. Responsibilities of Biomedical Engineers in the Maintenance of Radiology Equipment

Biomedical engineers are crucial in the healthcare industry, particularly in maintaining and optimizing radiology equipment. Their responsibilities encompass a wide range of tasks that ensure the safe and efficient operation of complex imaging devices like CT (Computed Tomography) and MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) machines. These responsibilities begin with installing and commissioning the equipment, where biomedical engineers ensure that all components are correctly assembled, calibrated, and functioning according to the manufacturer's specifications. This initial setup is critical, laying the foundation for the machine's performance and longevity(Aljamali & Almuhana, 2021).

Once the equipment is operational, biomedical engineers are responsible for conducting routine maintenance, including regular inspections, calibrating sensors and detectors, and replacing worn-out parts before they fail. These preventative measures are vital to avoiding unexpected downtime and ensuring the machines consistently produce high-quality images. For instance, in a CT machine, the engineer might regularly check the condition of the X-ray tubes and detectors, ensuring they are functioning optimally to produce clear and accurate images. MRI machines might focus on maintaining the cooling systems that keep the powerful magnets at a stable temperature, preventing any fluctuations affecting image quality(Dzobo, Adotey, Thomford, & Dzobo, 2020; Tsapaki, 2020).

Another critical responsibility of biomedical engineers is troubleshooting and repairing any malfunctions that occur. Despite the best preventive maintenance practices, equipment can fail due to unforeseen issues. When this happens, biomedical engineers diagnose the problem and implement a solution quickly. This might involve recalibrating a system, replacing a faulty component, or updating the software that controls the machine. Their ability to efficiently resolve these issues minimizes downtime and ensures the equipment remains available for patient care(Cornejo et al., 2022).

Biomedical engineers are also responsible for ensuring that radiology equipment complies with safety standards and regulations. This includes conducting safety checks, such as verifying that the radiation output from a CT scanner is within safe limits or ensuring that the electromagnetic fields generated by an MRI machine do not pose a risk to patients or staff. These safety protocols are essential in protecting both patients and healthcare providers from potential harm. In addition, biomedical engineers play a key role in training healthcare staff on the proper use and care of radiology equipment, ensuring that everyone involved in its operation understands how to use it safely and effectively(Tettey, Parupelli, Desai, & Devices, 2024).

4.2. Specialized Skills and Knowledge Required for Effective Maintenance

The maintenance of radiology equipment requires unique skills and knowledge that biomedical engineers must possess to perform their duties effectively. These professionals need a deep understanding of engineering principles and medical science to bridge the gap between technology and healthcare. This dual expertise allows them to comprehend the complex mechanisms of radiology equipment and how these machines interact with the human body(Javaid, Haleem, Singh, & Suman, 2023).

A fundamental requirement for biomedical engineers is a solid electronics and mechanical engineering foundation. Radiology equipment like CT and MRI machines are highly sophisticated devices that rely on intricate electronic circuits, advanced software, and precise mechanical components. Biomedical engineers must be able to understand and work with these systems, whether they are calibrating sensors, repairing electrical faults, or fine-tuning mechanical components. This technical expertise is critical in ensuring the machines function correctly and deliver accurate results(Wang et al., 2022).

In addition to technical skills, biomedical engineers need specialized knowledge in medical imaging technology. This includes an understanding of how different imaging modalities work, such as the principles of X-ray generation in CT scanners or the magnetic resonance principles used in MRI machines. They must also be familiar with the biological effects of these technologies, such as the impact of ionizing radiation on human tissues or the safety considerations associated with high magnetic fields. This knowledge allows them to maintain the equipment to maximize its diagnostic capabilities while minimizing risks to patients and staff(Rahaman & Brown, 2021).

Moreover, biomedical engineers must stay updated on the latest advancements in radiology technology. The field of medical imaging is constantly evolving, with new machines, software updates, and imaging techniques being developed regularly. Biomedical engineers must continuously educate themselves on these advancements to ensure they can effectively maintain and optimize the latest equipment. This might involve attending training programs, reading technical manuals, or participating in professional development courses. Their ability to adapt to new technologies is crucial for keeping healthcare facilities at the forefront of medical diagnostics(Panayides et al., 2020).

Another important skill for biomedical engineers is problem-solving. The complex nature of radiology equipment means that issues can arise unexpectedly, and these problems can be multifaceted, involving both hardware and software components. Biomedical engineers must be adept at diagnosing these issues, often under time constraints, to restore the equipment to full functionality. Their problem-solving abilities are essential for maintaining the reliability of the equipment and minimizing downtime, which is critical in a healthcare environment where time is often of the essence(Barragán-Montero et al., 2021).

4.3. Impact of Engineering Expertise on the Safety and Functionality of Radiology Equipment

The expertise of biomedical engineers profoundly impactsradiology equipment's safety and functionality. These professionals ensure that the machines function correctly and are safe for use in a clinical environment. The safety of radiology equipment is paramount, as these machines involve high-energy processes, such as generating ionizing radiation in CT scanners or creating strong magnetic fields in MRI machines. These processes can pose significant risks to patients and healthcare workers if not properly managed(Lepri, Oddi, Gulino, & Giansanti, 2024).

Biomedical engineers are key in mitigating these risks by conducting regular safety checks and adhering to strict safety protocols. For example, they ensure that CT scanners operate within safe radiation doses, minimizing patients' exposure to ionizing radiation. They also check that MRI machines are correctly shielded and that the magnetic fields do not interfere with other electronic devices or pose risks to individuals with metal implants. These safety measures are critical for preventing accidents and ensuring that the radiology equipment is safe(Callihan et al., 2021).

The functionality of radiology equipment is also heavily influenced by the expertise of biomedical engineers. Well-maintained and properly functioning equipment is essential for producing high-quality diagnostic images crucial for accurate diagnosis and treatment planning. Biomedical engineers ensure that the machines are calibrated correctly, that all components work as intended, and that any issues are promptly addressed. This level of care and attention to detail directly impacts the quality of the imaging and, consequently, the quality of patient care(Anazodo et al., 2023).Moreover, the ability of biomedical engineers to quickly and effectively resolve technical issues minimizes downtime. It ensures that the radiology equipment is always available when needed. This reliability is invaluable in a healthcare setting where timely diagnosis can significantly affect patient outcomes. By maintaining the functionality of the equipment, biomedical engineers contribute to the overall efficiency of the healthcare facility, enabling it to provide continuous, high-quality care to patients(Cornejo et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2021).

V. Conclusion

Regular maintenance ensuresradiology equipment's longevity and optimal performance, such as CT (Computed Tomography) and MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) machines. These machines are pivotal in modern healthcare, providing crucial diagnostic information that guides treatment decisions. Without routine maintenance, these machines' accuracy, reliability, and overall functionality can degrade over time, leading to increased risks of equipment failure and compromised diagnostic outcomes. Preventative maintenance tasks, such as calibrating sensors, replacing worn components, and updating software, play a critical role in preserving the equipment's integrity. This maintenance not only extends the lifespan of the machines but also ensures that they consistently deliver high-quality imaging, which is essential for accurate and timely diagnoses.

The absence of regular maintenance can result in frequent breakdowns, reduced image quality, and even complete equipment failure. These issues disrupt patient care and lead to increased operational costs due to repairs and potential replacement of the machines. Furthermore, poorly maintained equipment can cause delays in diagnosis and treatment, negatively impacting patient outcomes. Therefore, the importance of regular maintenance cannot be overstated, as it directly contributes to the reliability and effectiveness of radiology equipment, ensuring that healthcare providers can offer the best possible care to their patients.

Biomedical engineers are at the forefront of maintaining and optimizing radiology equipment. Their responsibilities encompass a wide range of tasks, from the initial installation and calibration of the machines to ongoing preventative maintenance and emergency repairs. These engineers possess specialized skills and knowledge that allow them to understand the complex mechanisms of radiology equipment and ensure that they operate safely and efficiently. Their engineering and medical science expertise enables them to bridge the gap between technology and healthcare, ensuring that the machines produce accurate, high-quality images(Ruban et al., 2022).

Moreover, biomedical engineers play a crucial role in ensuring the safety of radiology equipment. They conduct regular safety checks, adhere to strict safety protocols, and ensure compliance with regulatory standards. This work is essential in protecting patients and healthcare providers from potential risks of using advanced imaging technologies. Biomedical engineers contribute significantly to the overall quality of healthcare delivery by maintaining the functionality and safety of radiology equipment.

References

[1]. Abdulkadir, M. K. J. J. o. R. N. (2020). Quality assurance in medical imaging: a review of challenges in Nigeria. *39*(3), 238-244.
[2]. Aljamali, N. M., & Almuhana, W. H. Y. J. J. o. A. i. E. D. (2021). Review on biomedical engineering and engineering technology in bio-medical devices. *6*(2), 18-24.
[3]. Almakrami, A. (2021). *Health Technology Assessment-Based Approaches for Managing High Cost Medical Equipment in Hospitals.* KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY JEDDAH,
[4]. Anazodo, U. C., Ng, J. J., Ehiogu, B., Obungoloch, J., Fatade, A., Mutsaerts, H. J., . . . Alexander, D. C. J. N. i. B. (2023). A framework for advancing sustainable magnetic resonance imaging access in Africa. *36*(3), e4846.
[5]. Badnjevic, A. J. T., & Care, H. (2023). Evidence-based maintenance of medical devices: Current shortage and pathway towards solution. *31*(1).
[6]. Barragán-Montero, A., Javaid, U., Valdés, G., Nguyen, D., Desbordes, P., Macq, B., . . . Löfman, F. J. P. M. (2021). Artificial intelligence and machine learning for medical imaging: A technology review. *83*, 242-256.
[7]. Burroni, L., Bianciardi, C., Romagnolo, C., Cottignoli, C., Palucci, A., Massimo Fringuelli, F., . . . Imaging, T. (2021). Lean approach to improving performance and efficiency in a nuclear medicine department. *9*, 129-139.
[8]. Callihan, D. R., Downing, M., Meyer, E., Ochoa, L. A., Petuch, B., Tranchell, P., & White, D. J. A. B. (2021). Considerations for laboratory biosafety and biosecurity during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: applying the ISO 35001: 2019 standard and high-reliability organizations principles. *26*(3), 113-122.
[9]. Chinene, B., Elton Mutasa, F., & Bwanga, O. J. I. J. o. M. R. (2023). Computed Tomography (CT) imaging services in Zimbabwe: a mini-review study. *10*(3), 543-552.
[10]. Code, S. (2024). Safety Procedures for the Installation, Use and Control of X-ray Equipment in Large Medical Radiological Facilities.
[11]. Cornejo, J., Cornejo-Aguilar, J. A., Vargas, M., Helguero, C. G., Milanezi de Andrade, R., Torres-Montoya, S., . . . Damon, A. J. B. r. i. (2022). Anatomical Engineering and 3D printing for surgery and medical devices: International review and future exponential innovations. *2022*(1), 6797745.

[12]. Doo, F. X., Kulkarni, P., Siegel, E. L., Toland, M., Paul, H. Y., Carlos, R. C., & Parekh, V. S. J. J. o. t. A. C. o. R. (2024). Economic and environmental costs of cloud technologies for medical imaging and radiology artificial intelligence. *21*(2), 248-256.
[13]. Dzobo, K., Adotey, S., Thomford, N. E., & Dzobo, W. J. O. a. j. o. i. b. (2020). Integrating artificial and human intelligence: a partnership for responsible innovation in biomedical engineering and medicine. *24*(5), 247-263.
[14]. Fawver, B., Thomas, J. L., Drew, T., Mills, M. K., Auffermann, W. F., Lohse, K. R., & Williams, A. M. J. J. o. E. P. A. (2020). Seeing isn't necessarily believing: Misleading contextual information influences perceptual-cognitive bias in radiologists. *26*(4), 579.
[15]. Fleming, P. R., Watts, C., Forrester, S. J. P. o. t. I. o. M. E., Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering, & Technology. (2023). A new model of third generation artificial turf degradation, maintenance interventions and benefits. *237*(1), 19-33.
[16]. Frija, G., Blažić, I., Frush, D. P., Hierath, M., Kawooya, M., Donoso-Bach, L., & Brkljačić, B. J. E. (2021). How to improve access to medical imaging in low-and middle-income countries? , *38*.
[17]. Gibney, B. T., Roberts, J. M., D'Ortenzio, R. M., Sheikh, A. M., Nicolaou, S., Roberge, E. A., & O'Neill, S. B. J. R. (2021). Preventing and mitigating radiology system failures: a guide to disaster planning. *41*(7), 2111-2126.
[18]. Hussain, S., Mubeen, I., Ullah, N., Shah, S. S. U. D., Khan, B. A., Zahoor, M., . . . Sultan, M. A. J. B. r. i. (2022). Modern diagnostic imaging technique applications and risk factors in the medical field: a review. *2022*(1), 5164970.
[19]. Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. J. B. T. (2023). Sustaining the healthcare systems through the conceptual of biomedical engineering: A study with recent and future potentials. *1*, 39-47.
[20]. Karemere, H., Mukwege, J., Molima, C., Makali, S. J. J. o. H. M., & Policy, H. (2020). Analysis of hospital performance from the point of view of sanitary standards: study of Bagira General Referral Hospital in DR Congo. *4*.
[21]. Khalifa, M., Albadawy, M. J. C. M., & Update, P. i. B. (2024). AI in diagnostic imaging: Revolutionising accuracy and efficiency. 100146.
[22]. Kjelle, E., & Chilanga, C. J. I. i. I. (2022). The assessment of image quality and diagnostic value in X-ray images: a survey on radiographers' reasons for rejecting images. *13*(1), 36.
[23]. Koundal, D., Kadyan, V., Dutta, P., Anand, V., Aggarwal, S., & Gupta, S. J. A. i. C. T. f. B. I. A. (2020). Computational techniques in biomedical image analysis: overview. 3-31.
[24]. Labbé, A., Authelet, G., Baudouy, B., van Der Beek, C. J., Briatico, J., Darrasse, L., & Poirier-Quinot, M. J. F. i. P. (2021). Recent advances and challenges in the development of radiofrequency HTS coil for MRI. *9*, 705438.
[25]. Lepri, G., Oddi, F., Gulino, R. A., & Giansanti, D. J. B. (2024). Reimagining Radiology: A Comprehensive Overview of Reviews at the Intersection of Mobile and Domiciliary Radiology over the Last Five Years. *11*(3), 216.
[26]. Mossa-Basha, M., Meltzer, C. C., Kim, D. C., Tuite, M. J., Kolli, K. P., & Tan, B. S. J. R. (2020). Radiology department preparedness for COVID-19: radiology scientific expert review panel. *296*(2), E106-E112.
[27]. Najjar, R. J. D. (2023). Redefining radiology: a review of artificial intelligence integration in medical imaging. *13*(17), 2760.
[28]. Nohava, L., Ginefri, J.-C., Willoquet, G., Laistler, E., & Frass-Kriegl, R. J. F. i. P. (2020). Perspectives in wireless radio frequency coil development for magnetic resonance imaging. *8*, 11.
[29]. Omoumi, P., Ducarouge, A., Tournier, A., Harvey, H., Kahn, C. E., Louvet-de Verchère, F., . . . Richiardi, J. J. E. r. (2021). To buy or not to buy—evaluating commercial AI solutions in radiology (the ECLAIR guidelines). *31*, 3786-3796.
[30]. Panayides, A. S., Amini, A., Filipovic, N. D., Sharma, A., Tsaftaris, S. A., Young, A., . . . informatics, h. (2020). AI in medical imaging informatics: current challenges and future directions. *24*(7), 1837-1857.
[31]. Patriarca, R., Ramos, M., Paltrinieri, N., Massaiu, S., Costantino, F., Di Gravio, G., . . . Safety, S. (2020). Human reliability analysis: Exploring the intellectual structure of a research field. *203*, 107102.
[32]. Rahaman, M. N., & Brown, R. F. (2021). *Materials for Biomedical Engineering: Fundamentals and Applications*: John Wiley & Sons.
[33]. Ruban, R., Rajashekhar, V., Nivedha, B., Mohit, H., Sanjay, M., & Siengchin, S. (2022). Role of additive manufacturing in biomedical engineering. In *Innovations in additive manufacturing* (pp. 139-157): Springer.
[34]. Saini, G., Budhwar, V., Choudhary, M. J. H., & Technology. (2022). Review on people's trust on home use medical devices during COVID-19 pandemic in India. *12*(2), 527-546.
[35]. Shomirov, A., & Zhang, J. (2021). *An overview of deep learning in MRI and CT medical image processing.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2021 3rd International Symposium on Signal Processing Systems.
[36]. Suchá, D., van Hamersvelt, R. W., van den Hoven, A. F., de Jong, P. A., & Verkooijen, H. M. J. R. C. I. (2020). Suboptimal quality and high risk of bias in diagnostic test accuracy studies at chest radiography and CT in the acute setting of the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. *2*(4), e200342.
[37]. Tay, Y. X., Kothan, S., Kada, S., Cai, S., & Lai, C. W. K. J. W. J. o. R. (2021). Challenges and optimization strategies in medical imaging service delivery during COVID-19. *13*(5), 102.
[38]. Tettey, F., Parupelli, S. K., Desai, S. J. B. M., & Devices. (2024). A review of biomedical devices: classification, regulatory guidelines, human factors, software as a medical device, and cybersecurity. *2*(1), 316-341.
[39]. Tsapaki, V. J. P. M. (2020). Radiation dose optimization in diagnostic and interventional radiology: Current issues and future perspectives. *79*, 16-21.
[40]. Wang, W., Pang, J., Su, J., Li, F., Li, Q., Wang, X., . . . Li, Y. J. I. (2022). Applications of nanogenerators for biomedical engineering and healthcare systems. *4*(2), e12262.
[41]. Zamzam, A. H., Al-Ani, A. K. I., Wahab, A. K. A., Lai, K. W., Satapathy, S. C., Khalil, A., . . . Hasikin, K. J. F. i. P. H. (2021). Prioritisation assessment and robust predictive system for medical equipment: a comprehensive strategic maintenance management. *9*, 782203.
[42]. Zhou, S. K., Greenspan, H., Davatzikos, C., Duncan, J. S., Van Ginneken, B., Madabhushi, A., . . . Summers, R. M. J. P. o. t. I. (2021). A review of deep learning in medical imaging: Imaging traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises. *109*(5), 820-838.