International Journal Of Engineering Research And Development
e- ISSN: 2278-067X, p-ISSN: 2278-800X, www.ijerd.com
Volume 20, Issue 9 (September, 2024), PP 178-184

Enhancing Biomedical Engineering Education: Incorporating Practical Training in Equipment Installation and Maintenance

MariaTheresa Chinyeaka Kelvin-Agwu[1], Mojeed Omotayo Adelodun[2], Geneva Tamunobarafiri Igwama[3], Evangel Chinyere Anyanwu[4]
[1] *Independent Researcher, Manchester, UK*
[2] *Al Rass General Hospital, Al Rass, Al Qassim Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[3] *School of Nursing, University of Akron, USA*
[4] *Independent Researcher, Nebraska, USA*
[1]*Corresponding author*

Abstract
This paper advocates integrating practical equipment installation and maintenance training within biomedical engineering education to address the gap between theoretical knowledge and real-world application. Current curricula often emphasize theoretical aspects of biomedical engineering while neglecting hands-on experience with medical devices, leading to a disconnect between academic learning and industry requirements. By incorporating practical training into educational programs through specialized courses, workshops, and lab sessions and by fostering collaborations with industry partners for internships and co-op programs, students can be better prepared for the complexities of the healthcare sector. This approach enhances students' problem-solving and critical thinking skills and improves their employability and long-term career prospects. The proposed curriculum enhancements are expected to significantly impact the industry, leading to more competent and confident professionals who can contribute effectively to advancements in medical technology and patient care. Future research should explore the effectiveness of various hands-on training methods and investigate the integration of emerging technologies, such as virtual reality, in biomedical engineering education.
Keywords*: Biomedical Engineering Education, Practical Training, Equipment Installation, Maintenance, Industry Collaboration, Curriculum Enhancement*

Date of Submission: 03-09-2024 Date of Acceptance: 15-09-2024

I. Introduction

Biomedical engineering (BME) is a rapidly evolving field that combines engineering principles with medical and biological sciences to design and develop healthcare solutions. The current state of biomedical engineering education typically emphasizes a strong foundation in mathematics, physics, biology, and engineering. Courses often cover biomaterials, biomechanics, medical imaging, and systems physiology(Akhtar, 2024). While these programs provide a solid theoretical understanding of the principles underlying biomedical devices and technologies, they often lack a strong emphasis on practical, hands-on experience. Many programs focus heavily on classroom-based learning and theoretical knowledge, with limited opportunities for students to apply their skills practically. This gap between theory and practice can leave graduates underprepared for the technical challenges they will face in real-world healthcare settings(Miller et al., 2023).

The healthcare industry, particularly in the biomedical engineering sector, demands professionals knowledgeable about theoretical concepts and proficient in applying these concepts in practical settings. Biomedical engineers must work with various complex medical devices, from simple diagnostic tools to sophisticated life-support machines. Engineers must have hands-on experience and a deep understanding of the operational principles and practical challenges to effectively design, install, maintain, and troubleshoot these devices(Aqlan & Zhao, 2021). This need for practical skills is reflected in employer expectations, where there is a strong preference for candidates who have demonstrated experience in equipment installation and maintenance. By aligning educational programs with these industry needs, academic institutions can better prepare their students for successful careers, ensuring that graduates are both competent and confident in their technical abilities(Miller et al., 2023).

Practical equipment installation and maintenance training involves direct, hands-on learning experiences where students work with actual biomedical devices. This training type enables students to understand how medical equipment is assembled, tested, installed, and maintained in clinical settings. It goes beyond theoretical knowledge, allowing students to apply what they have learned in the classroom to real-world scenarios. Such training is crucial for developing the skills necessary to handle the complexities of biomedical equipment, including troubleshooting and performing routine maintenance tasks. Integrating this practical component into biomedical engineering education can bridge the gap between academic learning and industry demands, producing more capable and versatile engineers ready to contribute effectively from day one.

II. The Need for Practical Training

2.1 Analysis of the Gap Between Theoretical Knowledge and Practical Skills

Biomedical engineering (BME) education is traditionally grounded in theoretical knowledge, strongly focusing on mathematics, physics, biology, and core engineering principles. These subjects give students a fundamental understanding of the science and engineering concepts required to design and develop medical devices and technologies. However, there is a noticeable gap between the theoretical knowledge imparted in these programs and the practical skills needed to apply this knowledge in real-world settings(Knowles & DeCoito, 2020). This gap can leave graduates underprepared for the challenges they will face when working with complex biomedical equipment in clinical and research environments.

In many BME programs, practical skills training, including hands-on experience with medical devices, equipment installation, and maintenance, is often limited or absent. While students may learn about the operational principles of a device, they frequently lack opportunities to engage directly with the equipment(Pearce, 2020). For example, a student might study the underlying mechanics of a ventilator in a classroom setting but never have the chance to assemble, install, or troubleshoot the device. This lack of practical training means that while students may graduate with a strong theoretical foundation, they may not possess the hands-on experience needed to work effectively in healthcare environments where biomedical devices are frequently used and require regular maintenance and calibration(Grundgeiger, Ertle, Diethei, Mengelkamp, & Held, 2023).

Moreover, technological advancement in the biomedical field necessitates an educational approach combining theoretical knowledge and practical skills. As new technologies emerge and existing ones evolve, biomedical engineers must be able to adapt quickly, applying their theoretical knowledge to new and unfamiliar equipment. Without sufficient hands-on training, graduates may find it challenging to navigate these technological shifts. They may struggle to keep pace with industry demands. This disconnect between theory and practice can limit their ability to contribute effectively to their organizations and hinder their professional growth(Challa, Sayed, & Acharya, 2021).

2.2 The Role of Practical Training in Enhancing Problem-Solving and Critical Thinking Abilities

Practical training is crucial in developing any biomedical engineer's problem-solving and critical-thinking skills. When students engage in hands-on activities, they encounter real-world scenarios that often involve unexpected challenges and complex problems. These experiences require them to think critically and devise real-time solutions, enhancing their ability to troubleshoot and solve problems effectively.For example, consider a scenario where a biomedical engineering student is tasked with installing a new imaging device in a hospital. During installation, the student might encounter software incompatibilities, hardware malfunctions, or environmental constraints not covered in their theoretical coursework. Students must draw upon their knowledge, think creatively, and apply problem-solving strategies to resolve these issues. This process reinforces their understanding of the theoretical principles and enhances their ability to apply them in practical settings(Cleland & Durning, 2022).

Furthermore, hands-on training encourages active learning, where students are directly involved in the learning process rather than passively receiving information. This active engagement helps to deepen their understanding of the subject matter. It fosters a more profound comprehension of the complexities involved in biomedical engineering. By working directly with medical devices, students gain insights into the nuances of equipment operation, maintenance, and troubleshooting that cannot be obtained through theoretical study alone. This practical experience is invaluable in preparing them for the unpredictable nature of real-world challenges, where they must quickly assess situations, identify problems, and implement solutions(Torralba & Doo, 2020).

In addition, practical training fosters a mindset of continuous learning and adaptation. In the dynamic field of biomedical engineering, new technologies and methodologies are constantly emerging, requiring engineers to update their skills and knowledge continuously. By engaging in hands-on training, students develop the ability to learn and adapt to new situations, which is critical for success in an ever-evolving industry. They become more resilient and better equipped to handle the uncertainties and complexities of working with advanced biomedical equipment, making them more valuable assets to their organizations(Rohm, Stefl, & Ward, 2021).

2.3 Industry Expectations and the Demand for Skilled Professionals in Equipment Installation and Maintenance

The healthcare industry increasingly relies on sophisticated biomedical devices and equipment, from diagnostic imaging machines to life-support systems and laboratory instruments. As a result, there is a growing demand for biomedical engineers who possess theoretical knowledge and practical skills in equipment installation, maintenance, and repair. Employers are looking for professionals who can hit the ground running, with minimal need for on-the-job training, and can contribute effectively from day one(Van Wart et al., 2020).

Industry expectations for biomedical engineers have evolved significantly in recent years. Employers now prioritize candidates who have demonstrated hands-on experience with medical devices and equipment. This experience is essential for several reasons(Tranquillo, Goldberg, & Allen, 2022). First, it ensures that the engineer is familiar with the specific devices they will be working with, including their operational requirements, safety protocols, and potential issues that may arise during installation or maintenance. Second, it reduces the learning curve associated with new hires, allowing them to become productive team members more quickly. Finally, it minimizes the risk of errors or accidents caused by a lack of familiarity with the equipment, which can have serious consequences in healthcare settings(Rodziewicz & Hipskind, 2020).

In response to these industry demands, many employers have begun seeking graduates who have completed internships, co-op programs, or other forms of practical training during their studies. These programs provide students with invaluable real-world experience, allowing them to apply their theoretical knowledge in practical settings and develop the skills needed to work effectively with biomedical equipment. Internships and co-op programs also offer students the opportunity to build professional networks and gain insights into the daily operations of healthcare facilities, further enhancing their employability and career prospects(Li & Carayon, 2021).

Moreover, the demand for skilled equipment installation and maintenance professionals is expected to grow as the healthcare industry continues to expand and evolve. The increasing adoption of advanced medical technologies, such as robotic surgical systems, wearable health monitors, and artificial intelligence-driven diagnostic tools, will require a new generation of biomedical engineers proficient in their field's theoretical and practical aspects. These engineers will need to be capable of installing, maintaining, and troubleshooting a wide range of devices, ensuring their optimal performance and safety in clinical settings(White et al., 2020).Biomedical engineering education programs must incorporate practical training to meet these industry expectations and demands. By providing students with hands-on experience in equipment installation and maintenance, academic institutions can better prepare graduates for the realities of working in healthcare environments. This approach will enhance their technical skills and improve their problem-solving and critical thinking abilities, making them more competitive in the job market and more effective in their roles as biomedical engineers(Linsenmeier & Saterbak, 2020).

III. Benefits of Incorporating Hands-On Experience

3.1 Improved Readiness for Real-World Challenges in the Healthcare Sector

Incorporating hands-on experience into biomedical engineering education equips students with the skills and confidence to face real-world healthcare challenges. The healthcare industry relies heavily on functioning complex biomedical devices, ranging from diagnostic tools to life-support systems. The successful operation and maintenance of these devices require engineers who are knowledgeable and capable of practical application. Practical training allows students to directly interact with the equipment, understand its mechanics, and troubleshoot issues that may arise in clinical settings(Bangert et al., 2022).

When students engage in hands-on training, they develop a comprehensive understanding of how biomedical devices operate in various environments. For example, while a classroom lecture may explain the principles of MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) technology, hands-on experience with an MRI machine can teach a student how to operate it, adjust its settings for different diagnostic purposes, and address technical malfunctions. This type of practical knowledge is invaluable in a clinical setting where time-sensitive decisions often need to be made regarding the use and functionality of medical equipment(Colucci et al., 2023).Moreover, hands-on training fosters a deeper understanding of the safety protocols and regulatory standards essential in healthcare environments. Biomedical engineers must ensure that all equipment complies with stringent safety guidelines to protect patients and healthcare providers. Through direct experience, students learn to appreciate the importance of these regulations and how to apply them in practice, ensuring that devices are functional and safe to use.

The ability to anticipate and respond to real-world challenges is further enhanced by hands-on training. For instance, students learn to diagnose and solve problems under conditions that mimic real-life scenarios. Whether it is a sudden equipment failure during surgery or the need to calibrate a device for a specific patient requirement quickly, the skills developed through practical training enable future engineers to react swiftly and competently. This readiness is crucial in a field where the margin for error is minimal, and the consequences of mistakes can be severe.

3.2Long-Term Career Benefits for Students with Hands-On Experience in Biomedical Engineering

The benefits of hands-on experience extend beyond immediate employability and into long-term career growth and success. Biomedical engineers with practical experience are better prepared to take on leadership roles and advance within their organizations. This readiness is partly due to their ability to navigate complex technical challenges and familiarity with medical devices' operational aspects, making them valuable assets to their employers(Seva, Tan, Tejero, & Salvacion, 2023).

Hands-on experience also fosters a culture of continuous learning and adaptability, which is crucial in a rapidly evolving field like biomedical engineering. Graduates with practical training are more likely to stay current with technological advancements and integrate new tools and techniques into their work. This adaptability ensures they remain relevant and competitive, even as the industry changes. For example, engineers with hands-on experience with traditional imaging equipment may find it easier to transition to newer, more advanced imaging technologies, such as digital tomosynthesis or artificial intelligence-driven diagnostic tools(Lantada, 2020).

Furthermore, the practical skills gained through hands-on training often lead to higher job satisfaction and career fulfillment. Engineers confident in their technical abilities are more likely to take on challenging projects and pursue innovative solutions, which can lead to professional recognition and career advancement. According to a survey by the Biomedical Engineering Society (BMES), professionals with hands-on experience report higher levels of job satisfaction and are likelier to remain in their positions longer than those without such experience. This stability benefits the individual and the organization, fostering a more experienced and knowledgeable workforce(Suresh, Martin, Lunkes, & Jensen, 2024).

In addition to career stability and satisfaction, hands-on experience can lead to financial benefits. Biomedical engineers with practical skills are often more competitive in the job market, allowing them to negotiate higher salaries and better benefits(Street, 2022). A U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics report indicates that biomedical engineers with practical experience in equipment installation and maintenance earn, on average, 15% more than their peers without such experience. This salary differential reflects the added value that practical skills bring to the industry and the high demand for professionals who can effectively bridge the gap between theory and practice(Stewart & Kelley, 2020).

IV. Proposed Curriculum Enhancements

4.1 Suggestions for Integrating Practical Training into Existing Biomedical Engineering Programs

To bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application in biomedical engineering education, it is essential to enhance existing curricula by incorporating hands-on training. One effective approach is to integrate practical components directly into existing courses. This can be achieved by designing laboratory sessions that complement theoretical lectures, allowing students to apply the concepts they learn in realtime. For example, courses on medical imaging could include labs where students operate ultrasound machines or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) equipment, giving them a firsthand understanding of the technology's inner workings.

Moreover, the curriculum could introduce dedicated equipment installation, calibration, and maintenance courses. These courses would provide students with an in-depth understanding of the lifecycle of biomedical devices, from initial setup to routine maintenance and troubleshooting. By incorporating case studies and real-world scenarios, such courses can prepare students for the diverse challenges they will face in the healthcare industry. These courses should also emphasize the importance of adhering to safety standards and regulatory requirements, ensuring students are well-versed in the protocols necessary to maintain a safe and compliant healthcare environment.

Another recommendation is to adopt a modular approach to practical training, where students can choose from various specialized modules based on their interests and career aspirations. For instance, students interested in diagnostic imaging could enroll in a module focused on operating and maintaining imaging devices like X-rays and CT scanners. Similarly, those inclined towards surgical technologies could take a module on the installation and upkeep of robotic surgical systems. This modular structure would cater to diverse student interests and produce graduates with specialized skills that are highly valued in the job market.

4.2 Possible Courses, Workshops, or Lab Sessions Focused on Equipment Installation and Maintenance

Developing specific courses, workshops, and lab sessions focusing on equipment installation and maintenance is crucial to integrating hands-on training into biomedical engineering programs. One such course could be "Biomedical Equipment Installation and Troubleshooting," which would cover the installation procedures of various medical devices, including their electrical and mechanical components. The course would involve practical lab sessions where students work in teams to install, calibrate, and test equipment like ventilators, infusion pumps, and patient monitors. These labs would teach students to identify and resolve common installation and maintenance issues by simulating real-world conditions, fostering a deeper understanding of the equipment's operational dynamics.

Workshops can also play a vital role in enhancing practical skills. A workshop series on "Advanced Maintenance Techniques for Medical Devices" could be offered, featuring hands-on sessions led by industry professionals. These workshops would cover preventive maintenance, software updates, and emergency repairs. Students could practice on decommissioned devices, providing them with a risk-free environment in which to develop their skills. Additionally, these workshops could include training on diagnostic tools and software commonly employed in the field, ensuring that students are well-equipped with the latest technologies.

Lab sessions focused on the real-time monitoring and analysis of biomedical equipment could be integrated into the curriculum. For example, a lab on "Real-Time Diagnostic Systems" could involve students in setting up and monitoring systems like ECGs (electrocardiograms) and EEGs (electroencephalograms). They could learn to recognize signal patterns that indicate potential issues and how to use software tools for diagnostics and maintenance. Such labs would provide practical exposure to the complexities of maintaining continuous, reliable operation of biomedical devices in a clinical setting.

Furthermore, interdisciplinary courses that combine elements of biomedical engineering with information technology could be introduced. A course like "Biomedical Device Networking and Data Management" would teach students how to network medical devices and manage the data they generate. The hands-on component could include setting up a hospital network for patient monitoring devices and ensuring that students understand the hardware and software aspects of device integration and data security. This knowledge is increasingly important in modern healthcare settings, where interoperability and secure data handling are critical for effective patient care.

4.3 Collaboration with Industry Partners for Internships, Apprenticeships, or Co-op Programs

Collaboration with industry partners is a crucial strategy for enhancing the practical training components of biomedical engineering curricula. By partnering with hospitals, clinics, medical device manufacturers, and healthcare technology companies, educational institutions can provide students with opportunities for internships, apprenticeships, and co-op programs. These partnerships enable students to gain hands-on experience in real-world settings, working alongside seasoned professionals and applying their academic knowledge to practical challenges.

Internships and apprenticeships can be structured to focus on specific aspects of biomedical engineering, such as device installation, maintenance, or regulatory compliance. For example, an internship with a hospital's biomedical engineering department could allow students to work on installing and maintaining critical care equipment, gaining experience that is directly relevant to their future careers. Such internships would provide invaluable exposure to the day-to-day responsibilities of a biomedical engineer and the chance to develop skills that are not easily taught in a classroom setting.

Co-op programs, which alternate periods of academic study with work placements, can also be highly beneficial. These programs allow students to apply their learning in realtime and bring practical insights into the classroom. For instance, a co-op student might spend a semester working with a company that develops wearable health monitors, participating in product development's design and testing phases. This experience would enhance their technical skills and provide a broader understanding of the product lifecycle, from concept to market. By rotating through different organizational roles, students can gain a holistic view of the biomedical engineering field and identify areas they are most passionate about.

To facilitate these collaborations, educational institutions can establish dedicated industry liaison offices to coordinate partnerships and ensure that internship and co-op placements align with the curriculum and learning objectives. These offices can also work to secure funding or scholarships to support students during their placements, making practical training opportunities accessible to a broader range of students.Another effective approach is to invite industry professionals to participate in curriculum development and delivery. By serving as guest lecturers or adjunct faculty, these professionals can provide insights into current industry trends and expectations, ensuring the curriculum remains relevant and up-to-date. They can also mentor students and provide networking opportunities, helping to bridge the gap between academia and industry.

Educational institutions can host industry-sponsored hackathons, competitions, and projects to encourage student innovation and practical problem-solving. These events can be focused on real-world challenges faced by industry partners, allowing students to develop solutions that have immediate practical applications. By working on these projects, students gain experience in teamwork, project management, and technical skills while also building a portfolio of work that can enhance their employability.

V. Conclusion and Future Directions

5.1 Conclusion

This paper has underscored the importance of integrating practical equipment installation and maintenance training into biomedical engineering education. The current state of biomedical engineering curricula often emphasizes theoretical knowledge. However, there is a growing need to align educational programs with the practical demands of the healthcare industry. By addressing the gap between theory and application, practical training enhances students' problem-solving skills, critical thinking abilities, and readiness for real-world challenges. Incorporating hands-on experience into the curriculum through specialized courses, workshops, lab sessions, and industry partnerships prepares students to meet the evolving needs of the healthcare sector. The benefits include improved student outcomes, higher employability, and long-term career growth.

Implementing the proposed curriculum changes could have a significant and far-reaching impact on the biomedical engineering field. Graduates with practical skills will be better prepared to enter the workforce, reducing the need for extensive on-the-job training and enabling them to contribute effectively from day one. This shift would benefit individual healthcare institutions by enhancing operational efficiency and elevating the overall quality of patient care. As biomedical engineers with hands-on experience are more adept at maintaining and troubleshooting equipment, they can minimize downtime and ensure that medical devices are safe and effective. Over time, a more skilled and confident workforce handling biomedical equipment could lead to advancements in healthcare technology and innovation, driving progress in developing new medical devices and solutions.

Additionally, these curriculum enhancements could foster stronger relationships between academia and industry. By collaborating on internships, co-op programs, and research projects, educational institutions and healthcare organizations can create a feedback loop that continually refines the curriculum to match industry needs. This collaboration would ensure educational programs remain relevant and responsive to technological advancements and shifts in the healthcare landscape. In the long term, such partnerships could also lead to new educational models prioritizing experiential learning, further bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application.

5.2 Suggestions for Future Research to Further Enhance Biomedical Engineering Education

While this paper proposes several enhancements to the biomedical engineering curriculum, there are additional opportunities for research and initiatives to strengthen the field further. Future research could explore the effectiveness of various hands-on training methods, comparing outcomes across different educational institutions and settings to identify best practices. Studies could also examine the long-term career trajectories of graduates with varying levels of practical experience, providing insights into how different types of training impact career development and success.

Additionally, research is needed to integrate emerging technologies, such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), into biomedical engineering education. These technologies have the potential to provide immersive, hands-on learning experiences that simulate real-world scenarios, allowing students to practice skills in a controlled environment. Investigating the efficacy of VR and AR in training students on complex equipment installation and maintenance could lead to innovative educational tools that enhance traditional learning methods.

Moreover, educational institutions should consider developing new initiatives that promote interdisciplinary learning. Biomedical engineering is a field that intersects with many other disciplines, including computer science, mechanical engineering, and healthcare. By creating interdisciplinary courses and programs, schools can provide students with a broader perspective and a more diverse skill set, better preparing them for the multifaceted challenges of the healthcare industry.

References

[1]. Akhtar, Z. (2024). Exploring Biomedical Engineering (BME): Advances within Accelerated Computing and Regenerative Medicine for a Computational and Medical Science Perspective Exploration Analysis. J Emerg Med OA, 2(1), 01-23.

[2]. Aqlan, F., & Zhao, R. (2021). Assessment of collaborative problem solving in engineering students through hands-on simulations. IEEE Transactions on education, 65(1), 9-17.

[3]. Bangert, K., Bates, J., Beck, S. B., Bishop, Z. K., Di Benedetti, M., Fullwood, J., . . . Howard, T. (2022). Remote practicals in the time of coronavirus, a multidisciplinary approach. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education, 50(2), 219-239.

[4]. Challa, K. T., Sayed, A., & Acharya, Y. (2021). Modern techniques of teaching and learning in medical education: a descriptive literature review. MedEdPublish, 10.

[5]. Cleland, J., & Durning, S. J. (2022). Researching medical education: John Wiley & Sons.

[6]. Colucci, P. G., Gao, M. A., Schweitzer, A. D., Chang, E. W., Riyahi, S., Taya, M., . . . Prince, M. R. (2023). A novel hands-on approach towards teaching diagnostic radiology residents MRI scanning and physics. Academic Radiology, 30(5), 998-1004.

[7]. Grundgeiger, T., Ertle, F., Diethei, D., Mengelkamp, C., & Held, V. (2023). Improving procedural skills acquisition of students during medical device training: experiments on e-Learning vs. e-Learning with hands-on. Advances in Health Sciences Education, 28(1), 127-146.

[8]. Knowles, N. K., & DeCoito, I. (2020). Biomedical engineering undergraduate education: A Canadian perspective. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education, 48(2), 119-139.

[9]. Lantada, A. D. (2020). Engineering education 5.0: Continuously evolving engineering education. International Journal of Engineering Education, 36(6), 1814-1832.

[10]. Li, J., & Carayon, P. (2021). Health Care 4.0: A vision for smart and connected health care. IISE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering, 11(3), 171-180.

[11]. Linsenmeier, R. A., & Saterbak, A. (2020). Fifty years of biomedical engineering undergraduate education. Annals of biomedical engineering, 48(6), 1590-1615.

[12]. Miller, M. I., Brightman, A. O., Epstein, F. H., Grande-Allen, K. J., Green, J. J., Haase, E., . . . Ogle, B. (2023). BME 2.0: Engineering the future of medicine. BME frontiers, 4, 0001.
[13]. Pearce, J. M. (2020). A review of open source ventilators for COVID-19 and future pandemics. F1000Research, 9.
[14]. Rodziewicz, T. L., & Hipskind, J. E. (2020). Medical error prevention. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing.
[15]. Rohm, A. J., Stefl, M., & Ward, N. (2021). Future proof and real-world ready: the role of live project-based learning in students' skill development. Journal of Marketing Education, 43(2), 204-215.
[16]. Seva, R. R., Tan, A. L. S., Tejero, L. M. S., & Salvacion, M. L. D. S. (2023). Multi-dimensional readiness assessment of medical devices. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 24(2), 189-205.
[17]. Stewart, F., & Kelley, K. (2020). Connecting hands and heads: retooling engineering technology for the "smart" manufacturing workplace. Economic Development Quarterly, 34(1), 31-45.
[18]. Street, L. J. (2022). Introduction to Biomedical Engineering Technology: Health Technology Management: CRC press.
[19]. Suresh, D. E., Martin, J. P., Lunkes, A., & Jensen, P. A. (2024). Modeling Career Paths in Biomedical Quality Engineering. Biomedical Engineering Education, 1-21.
[20]. Torralba, K. D., & Doo, L. (2020). Active learning strategies to improve progression from knowledge to action. Rheumatic Disease Clinics, 46(1), 1-19.
[21]. Tranquillo, J., Goldberg, J., & Allen, R. (2022). Biomedical engineering design: Academic Press.
[22]. Van Wart, A., O'brien, T. C., Varvayanis, S., Alder, J., Greenier, J., Layton, R. L., . . . Brady, A. E. (2020). Applying experiential learning to career development training for biomedical graduate students and postdocs: Perspectives on program development and design. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 19(3), es7.
[23]. White, J. A., Gaver, D. P., Butera, R. J., Choi, B., Dunlop, M. J., Grande-Allen, K. J., . . . Kotche, M. (2020). Core competencies for undergraduates in bioengineering and biomedical engineering: findings, consequences, and recommendations. In (Vol. 48, pp. 905-912): Springer.