# Zero field splitting parameter and energy levels of  $Mn^{2+}$  in  $LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  single crystals

Maroj Bharati<sup>a</sup>, Vikram Singh<sup>a</sup>, Ram Kripal<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Nehru Gram Bharti (DU), Jamunipur, Prayagraj, India <sup>b</sup>EPR Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002, India

## Abstract

The  $Mn^{2+}$  doped LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystals' crystal field parameters and zero-field splitting parameter are theoretically determined utilizing the perturbation theory and the superposition model. The experimental value and the theoretical zero-field splitting parameter D are in good agreement. This establishes the experimental outcome that Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions substitute at Li<sup>+</sup> site in LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystal. The optical spectra of Mn<sup>2+</sup> doped  $LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  crystal are computed using the crystal field parameters and the crystal field analysis computer program. The computed and empirical energy values match reasonably well. Hence the theoretical study backs the experimental one.

Keywords: A. Inorganic compounds; A. Single crystal; D. Crystal fields; D. Optical properties; D. Electron paramagnetic resonance.

--- Date of Submission: 28-08-2024 Date of Acceptance: 07-09-2024

 $-1\leq i\leq n-1\leq n$ 

#### I. Introduction

Transition ion local site symmetry in doped crystals and distortions taking place in the lattice are obtained by electron paramagnetic resonance, or EPR [1-3]. According to these research, transition ion  $(d^5)$  spin Hamiltonian parameters (SH) are quite sensitive to local distortions. The SH parameters may be correlated with optical and structural parameters employing microscopic spin-Hamiltonian (MSH) theory.

The crystal-field (CF) parameters of  $d<sup>5</sup>$  ion may be obtained by superposition model (SPM) [4, 5]. The CF parameters can be used afterwards to determine the zero field splitting (ZFS) parameters [6]. Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion has  $^{6}S_{5/2}$  ground state [7-9] and hence is quite interesting.

 Lithium aluminates have been paid attention recently due to their several industrial applications. These compounds show excellent radiation and thermochemical stability, making them useful as blanket subjected to radiation in nuclear fusion reactors and on ceramic matrices in fuel cells that use molten carbonate [10–11]. Apart from these, they behave as good materials that glow when doped with impurities [12–13]. Many studies on LiAlO<sub>2</sub> have been documented [14–16] but there is little report on LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> having an inverse spinel structure shows intriguing optical characteristics. The important factor in the optical characteristics of these substances is the characteristic of dopants.

 $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  luminescence in lithium aluminate has been largely reported [17–18] as  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  doping gives red emitting phosphors useful for artificial illumination in various applications. LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> doped with Eu or Co are also reported  $[13, 19, 20]$  as these also yield efficient luminescence. Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions exhibit green, orange, and red photoluminescence under UV irradiation in most host materials and are, hence, largely used as phosphors for lamps and displays [21–22].

EPR study of  $Mn^{2+}$  doped lithium aluminate (LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) single crystal at 300 K has been performed [23]. The present investigation evaluates the CF parameters using SPM and these parameters with MSH theory provide ZFS parameter for  $Mn^{2+}$  ions at the axial center in LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystal at 300 K. The experimental and theoretical ZFS parameter D match well [23].

## II. Crystal Structure

At 300K LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> crystallizes in a space group  $P4<sub>3</sub>32$ -containing inverse spinel structure. The constant of lattice  $a = 7.908$  Å and  $Z = 4$  [24] (Fig. 1). Two kinds of coordination polyhedra around Li<sup>+</sup> and Al<sup>3+</sup> ions (tetrahedrons and octahedrons) are shown. Kordes [25] suggested both the primitive cubic and spinel structure for LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, wherein Li<sup>+</sup> and a portion of Al<sup>3+</sup> jointly occupied both octahedral and tetrahedral position. After doping  $Mn^{2+}$  ions substitute for Li<sup>+</sup> ions with charge compensation.  $Mn^{2+}$  ions show preference to substitute for  $Li<sup>+</sup>$  ions in the tetrahedral sites [23]. The site symmetry in the vicinity of Mn<sup>2+</sup>ions may be taken to be axial, as indicated by EPR investigation of  $Mn^{2+}$  in LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> [23].



Fig. 1: Crystal structure of LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> together with axes (SAAS-symmetry adopted axes system).

## III. Theoretical Investigation

The SH of  $3d<sup>5</sup>$  ion in axial symmetry crystal field is given

$$
\mathcal{H} = g \mu_{B} B.S + D \Big\{ S_{z}^{2} - S(S+1) \Big\} + \left( \frac{a}{6} \Bigg[ S_{x}^{4} + S_{y}^{4} + S_{z}^{4} - \frac{1}{5} S(S+1) \Big( 3 S^{2} + 3 S - 1 \Big) \right] + \frac{F}{180} \Big\{ 3 S S_{z}^{4} - 30 S(S+1) S_{z}^{2} + 25 S_{z}^{2} - 6 S(S+1) + 3 S^{2} (S+1)^{2} \Big\} + A(I.S)
$$
\n(1)

where the initial term gives electronic Zeeman interaction, B is the external magnetic field, the Bohr magneton is represented by  $\mu_B$ , and g is the spectroscopic splitting factor. The second-rank axial, fourth-rank cubic, and fourth-rank axial ZFS terms are the second, third, and fourth terms, respectively [8]. The hyperfine interaction

term is the fifth term. The letters S, D, a, and F denote the effective spin vector, second order axial, fourth-rank cubic, and fourth-rank axial ZFS parameters, respectively. The electronic Zeeman interaction is considered to be isotropic for  $Mn^{2+}$  ions [8, 29, 30].

For a  $d^5$  ion, the Hamiltonian is given as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{cf} + \mathcal{H}_{so}$ where  $\mathcal{H}_{cf} = \sum_{kq} \hat{A}$  $B_{kq}^{\phantom{k}c_q^k}$ (2)

is the Hamiltonian of the crystal field while  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{so}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  are spin-orbit (SO) coupling and the unbound ion

Hamiltonian, respectively. Since the spin-spin coupling is quite small [31-33], its contribution is neglected in Eq. (2). The perturbation term is the field of the SO interaction [34-36]. The ZFS parameter D's SO contribution **2ero field splitting parameter and energy levels of**  $Mn^2$  **in LiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>s</sub> single crystals<br>the fifth term. The letters S, D, a, and F denote the effective spin vector, second order axial, fourth-rank<br>and fourth-rank axial** 

for  $3d^5$  ions in axial symmetry is provided as [35]

$$
D^{^{(4)}}(SO) = \left(\frac{\xi^2}{63\ P^2 G}\right) \left[14\ B_{44}^2 - 5\ B_{40}^2\right] - \left(\frac{3\ \xi^2}{70\ P^2 D}\right) B_{20} \left[B_{20} - 14\ \xi\right]
$$
 (3)

where  $P = 7(B+C)$ ,  $G = 10B+5C$  and  $D = 17B+5C$ . P, G, and D yield the energy differences between the ground sextet and the excited quartets. The Racah parameters B and C represent the electron-electron repulsion. In Eq. (3), only the fourth order term is included since the other perturbation terms are negligible [35, 36]. B =  $N^4B_0$ , C =  $N^4C_0$ , and  $\xi = N^2 \xi_0$  represent the parameters B, C, and  $\xi$  in terms of the average covalency parameter N, where  $B_0$ , C0, and  $\xi_0$  are the Racah parameters and the spin-orbit coupling parameter for free ion, respectively [37].  $B_0 = 960 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $C_0 = 3325 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\xi_0 = 336 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are taken here [8] for free Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion. Using equation

$$
N = \left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{B_0}} + \sqrt{\frac{C}{C_0}}\right)/2\tag{4}
$$

N is evaluated taking the values of Racah parameters (B =917 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C = 2254 cm<sup>-1</sup>) from optical absorption of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion in crystal where there are oxygen ligands [38].

ZFS parameter D is determined from Eq. (3) after CF parameters for  $Mn^{2+}$  in LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystal are established from SPM. A comparable method has been considered for obtaining ZFS parameters by various other workers [39].

To interpret the crystal-field splitting in different crystals, the SPM is generally used. The model mentioned above has also been utilized for  $3d^n$  ions [40]. The parameters of the crystal field  $B_{kq}$ , using above model, are evaluated from the equations [41]:

$$
B_{20} = -2 \bar{A}_2 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{10} + \Delta R_1} \right)^{t_2} - 4 \bar{A}_2 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{20} + \Delta R_2} \right)^{t_2}
$$
(5)  

$$
B_{40} = 16 \bar{A}_4 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{10} + \Delta R_1} \right)^{t_4} + 12 \bar{A}_4 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{20} + \Delta R_2} \right)^{t_4}
$$
(6)  

$$
B_{44} = 2\sqrt{70} \bar{A}_4 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{20} + \Delta R_2} \right)^{t_4}
$$
(7)

where  $R_0$  is the reference distance, typically calculated as the axially symmetric mean of the four bond lengths.

 $\Delta R_1$  and  $\Delta R_2$  provide the distortion parameters.  $A_2$  $A_2$ ,  $A_4$  and t<sub>k</sub> represent the intrinsic parameters and power law exponent,

#### IV. Results and Discussion

The position of  $Mn^{2+}$ ion and spherical polar coordinates of ligands are displayed in Table 1. Two of the four  $Mn^{2+}O^{2}$  bond lengths have an average value of  $R_{10} = 0.5540$  nm, and the remaining two have an average value of R<sub>20</sub> = 0.5880 nm, respectively. In tetrahedral coordination,  $A_4(R_0)$  =  $(-27/16)$ Dq [6]. The ratio 4 2 A  $\frac{A_2}{A}$  for

3d<sup>5</sup> ions lies between 8 and 12 [40]. For the Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion, the power law exponent is found to be  $t_2 = 3$ ,  $t_4 = 7$ . To obtain the values of intrinsic parameters in SPM, semi-ab initio analysis for other transition ions are done; the same method is employed here.

Table 1 Atomic coordinates in  $LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  crystal and spherical polar coordinates of ligands R θ, φ.

Position of $Mn^{2+}$ (Fractional)	Ligands		Spherical co-ordinates of ligands		
		R(nm)	$\theta^0$	$\Phi^0$	
	X z Ă		(degree)		
Site: Substitutional $\Omega$	0.1146 0.1329 0.3847	0.3373	24.82	49.14	
Li O2	0.3859 0.3859 0.3859	0.5320	54.74		45.00
$(-0.0025, -0.0025, -0.0025)$ O3	$0.6146$ $0.3671$ $-0.3847$	0.6441	117.98	30.91	
O4	0.8859 0.1141 -0.3859	0.7707	113.16	7.47	

B, C, and Dq values are determined from study of optical absorption [38] as 917, 2254 and 756 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. First no local distortion is considered and D's value is computed. This can be done by taking 2 A  $\frac{A_2}{4}$ 

4 10 and  $R_0 = 0.211$  nm, which is a bit less than the total ionic radii of  $Mn^{2+} = 0.083$  nm and  $O^{2-} = 0.140$  nm, there are the B<sub>kq</sub> parameters as: B<sub>20</sub> = 3766.596 cm<sup>-1</sup>, B<sub>40</sub> = -35.4417 cm<sup>-1</sup>, B<sub>44</sub> = -16.3424 cm<sup>-1</sup> and D's value as:  $|D|$  = 5.3×10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. EPR study yields the D experimental value as:  $|D| = 299.0 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [23]. The experimental value is found to be greater than the theoretical value from above.

Now, taking local distortions as  $\Delta R_1 = -0.18780$  nm and  $\Delta R_2 = -0.18770$  nm,  $R_0 = 0.211$  nm and ratio 4 2 A  $\frac{\mathsf{A}_2}{\mathsf{B}_2} = 10,$ 

Table 2 shows the calculated B<sub>kq</sub> parameters and the D as:  $|D| = 299.2 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, in excellent conformity with the experimental value:  $|D| = 299.0 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. Taking B<sub>kq</sub> parameters and CFA program [42-43], the optical spectra of  $Mn^{2+}$  doped LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystal are computed. The energy levels of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion are calculated by diagonalizing the complete Hamiltonian within the  $3d^N$  basis of states in the intermediate crystal field coupling scheme. Table 3 presents the calculated energy values (input parameters are given below the Table) along with the experimental values [38] for comparison. It is noted from Table 3 that the computed and experimental energy values are reasonably in agreement. The energy values evaluated without considering distortion were quite different from the experimental ones and so are not being given here. Hence the theoretical study backs the experimental investigation.

Table 2. Crystal field parameters and zero field splitting parameters of  $Mn^{2+}$  doped LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> single crystal.





Table 3. Experimental and calculated (CFA package) energy band positions of  $Mn^{2+}$ doped  $LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  single crystal.

Transition from				
${}^6A_{1g}(S)$	Observed	Calculated		
	energy	energy		
	bands	bands		
	$(cm^{-1})$	$(cm^{-1})$		
		With distortion		
${}^4T_1g(G)$	16044	19708, 19923, 20045,		
		20049, 20175, 20195		
${}^{4}T_2g(G)$	20433	20240, 20293, 20333,		
		20456, 20481, 20558		
${}^4E_g(G)$	24108	21965, 21969, 22383,		
		22403		
$A_{1g}(G)$	24242	25586, 25640		
${}^4T_2g(D)$	26724	25729, 25812, 27283,		
		27324, 28115, 28190		
${}^4Eq(D)$	30451	30326, 30426, 30527,		
		30633		
${}^4T_1g(P)$	33956	33709, 33845, 34097,		
		34296, 34519, 34590		
${}^4A_{2g}(F)$	36846	36770, 36868		
${}^{4}T_{1g}(F)$	38521	38464, 38556, 38618,		

Input parameters: Numbers of free ion parameters  $= 5$ , number of d shell electrons  $= 5$ , number of fold for rotational site symmetry  $= 1$ ; Racah parameters in A, B and C, spin-orbit coupling constant and Trees correction are 0, 917, 2254, 336 and 76 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; number of crystal field parameters = 3; B<sub>20</sub>, B<sub>40</sub>, B<sub>44</sub> are taken from Table 2, spin-spin interaction parameter,  $M0 = 0.2917$ ; spin-spin interaction parameter,  $M2 =$ 0.0229; spin-other-orbit interaction parameter,  $M00 = 0.2917$ ; spin-other-orbit interaction parameter,  $M22 =$ 0.0229; magnetic field,  $B = 0.0$  Gauss; angle between magnetic field B and z-axis = 0.00 degree.

#### V. Conclusions

In  $LiAl<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  single crystal, the superposition model and perturbation analysis have been used to calculate the zero-field splitting parameter D for  $Mn^{2+}$ . The theoretical D matches well with the experiment when distortion is introduced into calculation. The theoretical study indicates that the ion  $Mn^{2+}$  resides in  $Li^+$  site with charge compensation which validates the experimental EPR study's conclusions. It is observed from CF energy calculation that the calculated and experimental results have a fair degree of agreement. Hence the theoretical study supports the experimental results. The procedure employed here may be used to several other ion-host systems for exploring crystals of scientific and industrial applications.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writers express their gratitude to the Head, Department of Physics for providing the departmental facilities and to Prof. C. Rudowicz, Faculty of Chemistry, A. Mickiewicz. University, Poznan, Poland for CFA program.

#### References

- [1]. C. Rudowicz, S. K. Misra, Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 36 (2001) 11.
- [2]. Z.Y. Yang, Y. Hao, C. Rudowicz, Y.Y. Yeung, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 (2004) 3481. [3]. P. Gnutek, Z. Y. Yang, C. Rudowicz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 455.
- P. Gnutek, Z. Y. Yang, C. Rudowicz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 455.
- [4]. S. K. Misra in: Handbook of ESR (Vol.2), eds. C. P. Poole Jr., H. A. Farach, Springer, New York, 1999, Chapter IX, p. 291.
- [5]. H. Anandlakshmi, K. Velavan, I. Sougandi, R. Venkatesan, P. S. Rao, Pramana 62 (2004) 77.
- [6]. S. Pandey, R. Kripal, A. K. Yadav, M. Açıkgöz, P. Gnutek, C. Rudowicz, J. Lumin. 230 (2020) 117548 (9 pages).
- 
- [7]. I. Stefaniuk, Opto-Electronics Rev. 26(2018)81. [8]. A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970. [9]. D. J. Newman, B. Ng (Eds.), Crystal Field Handbook, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [9]. D. J. Newman, B. Ng (Eds.), Crystal Field Handbook, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [10]. Y. Kawamura, M. Nishikawa, K. Tanaka, H. Matsumoto, J. Nucl. Sci.Technol. 29 (1992) 436.
- [11]. S. Terada, I. Nagashima, K. Higaki, Y. Ito, J. Power Sources 75 (1998) 223.
- [12]. T. Abritta, F. de Souza Barros, J. Lumin. 40/41 (1988) 187.
- [13]. L. Zuwu, L. Xi, L. Jun, Nat. Sci. J. Xiangtan. Univ. 18 (1996) 49.
- [14]. M.A. Valenzuela, J. Jimenez-Becerril, P. Bosch, S. Bulbulian, V.H. Lara, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 79 (1996) 455.
- [15]. S.W. Kwon, E.H. Kim, S.B. Park, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 18 (1999) 931.
- [16]. P. Ravindranathan, S. Komarneni, R. Roy, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 12 (1993)369.
- [17]. J. Maria Neto, T. Abritta, F. de Souza Barros, J. Lumin. 22 (1981) 109.
- [18]. J. G. Rabatin, J. Electrochem. Soc. 25 (1978) 920.<br>[19]. T. Ishihara, T. Katsuhisa, H. Kazuyuki, S. Naohir
- [19]. T. Ishihara, T. Katsuhisa, H. Kazuyuki, S. Naohiro, in: J.D. Mackenzie (Ed.), Proceedings of the SPIE on Sol–Gel Optics III, vol. 2288, 1994 (Issue 10 p. 668).
- [20]. D. Xiulan, Y. Duorong, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 351 (2005) 2348.
- [21]. K. Kang, S.H. Huang, X.W. Huang, W.D. Zhuang, F.T. You, S.S. Zhang, H.Q. He, J. Lumin. 122/123 (2007) 804. [22]. T.S. Copeland. B.I. Lee, J. Oi. A.K. Elrod. J. Lumin. 97 (2002) 168.
- [22]. T.S. Copeland, B.I. Lee, J. Qi, A.K. Elrod, J. Lumin. 97 (2002) 168.
- [23]. V. Singh, R. P. S. Chakradhar, J.L. Rao, D. K. Kim, Mat. Chem. Phys. 110 (2008) 43.
- [24]. R. Famery, F. Queyroux, J. C. Gilles, P. Herpin, J. Solid State Chem. 30 (1979) 257.
- [25]. E. Kordes, Zeits.fur. Krist. 91 (1935) 193.<br>[26]. M. G. Zhao, M. L. Du, G. Y. Sen, J. Phys.
- M. G. Zhao, M. L. Du, G. Y. Sen, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 18 (1985) 3241.
- [27]. W. L. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 622.
- [28]. Z. Y. Yang, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 (2000) 4091.<br>[29]. D. J. Newman, B. Ng, Rep. Prog. Phys. 52, (1989) 699
- [29]. D. J. Newman, B. Ng, Rep. Prog. Phys. 52, (1989) 699.<br>[30]. W. L. Yu, M. G. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 9254.
- W. L. Yu, M. G. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 9254.
- [31]. Z. Y. Yang, C. Rudowicz, Y. Y. Yeung, Physica B 348 (2004) 151.
- [32]. C. Rudowicz, H. W. F. Sung, Physica B 300 (2001) 1. [33]. C. J. Radnell, J. R. Pilbrow, S. Subramanian, M. T. Ro
- C. J. Radnell, J. R. Pilbrow, S. Subramanian, M. T. Rogers, J. Chem. Phys. 62 (1975) 4948.
- [34]. J. A. Weil, J. R. Bolton, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications, second ed., Wiley, New York, 2007.
- [35]. W. L. Yu, M. G. Zhao, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 18 (1984) L525.<br>[36]. J. F. Clare, S. D. Devine, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 17 (1984) L58
- J. F. Clare, S. D. Devine, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 17 (1984) L581.
- [37]. T. H. Yeom, S. H. Choh, M. L. Du, M. S. Jang, Phys. Rev. B 53(1996) 3415.
- [38]. R. Kripal, H. Govind, S. K. Gupta, M. Arora, Physica B 392(2007) 92.<br>[39]. W. L. Yu. M. G. Zhao. Phys. Stat. B 140 (1987) 203.
- 
- [39]. W. L. Yu, M. G. Zhao, Phys. Stat. B 140 (1987) 203.<br>[40]. Y. Y. Yeung, Superposition model and its application [40]. Y. Y. Yeung, Superposition model and its applications, in: Optical Properties of 3d-Ions in Crystals, Spectroscopy and Crystal Field Analysis (Chapter 3, pp.95-121), M. G. Brik and N. M. Avram (Eds.), Springer: Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2013.
- [41]. Q. Wei, Acta Phys. Polon. A 118 (2010) 670.
- [42]. Y. Y. Yeung, C. Rudowicz, J. Comput. Phys. 109(1993) 150.
- [43]. Y. Y. Yeung, C. Rudowicz, Comput. Chem.16 (1992) 207.

#### **Declarations**

#### Ethical Approval:

This research did not contain any studies involving animal or human participants, nor did it take place on any private or protected areas. No specific permissions were required for corresponding locations.

#### Competing interests:

 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Authors' contributions:

Maroj Bharati and Vikram Singh- performed calculations, wrote the manuscript and prepared the figure. Ram Kripal- idea and supervision. All authors have reviewed the manuscript.

#### Funding:

No funding is received.

#### Availability of data and materials:

The data will be made available on request.